UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/825,300	04/16/2004	Hiroshi Takahashi	251950US2 7756		
	7590 01/30/200 AK, MCCLELLAND,	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE ST	TREET	BEATTY, ROBERT B			
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
		2852			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	01/30/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

					311		
•		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/825,300		TAKAHASHI ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
	•	Robert Beat	ty ·	2852			
TI Period for R	ne MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the c	over sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress		
A SHORT WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (i - If NO perio - Failure to o Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F VER IS LONGER, FROM THE M is of time may be available under the provisions 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming of for reply is specified above, the maximum is reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AAILING DATE OF THIS s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event nunication. eatutory period will apply and will e v will, by statute, cause the applica	S COMMUNICATION  , however, may a reply be tin  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this come (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Re:	sponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>30 October 2006</i> .					
· —		2b) This action is nor	n-final.				
3)☐ Sin	ce this application is in condition			osecution as to the r	nerits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims						
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)⊠ Cla	im(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the of the above claim(s) is/a im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) <u>1-9,14-16</u> is/are rejected. im(s) <u>10-13 and 17-20</u> is/are objim(s) are subject to restrict	ected to.					
Application I	Papers						
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.					
		: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐	objected to by the I	Examiner.			
Арр	licant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•		
	placement drawing sheet(s) including oath or declaration is objected t	•	= : :	•	* *		
	er 35 U.S.C. § 119	,					
12)	nowledgment is made of a claim    b) Some * c) None of:    Certified copies of the priority   Certified copies of the priority	documents have been documents have been of the priority documen onal Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati ts have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Si	tage		
Attachment(s)			· ·				
	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I		) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Informatio	n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date	5	Notice of Informal P  Other:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/825,300 Page 2

Art Unit: 2852

1. The applicant has amended the specification on pages 22-23, 25-26 and page 26 however has not followed 37 CFR1.121. Specifically, markings have not been shown. Please revise.

2. Claims 7-13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

in claim 7, lines 1.3 are unclear because applicant calls for a "structure of a frame-side plate frame..." comprising various parts of an image forming apparatus but the frame, per se, cannot include parts of an image forming apparatus. It is assumed that the applicant is claiming an "image forming apparatus" and should so claim however please compare to claims 14-20 which appear similar.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe et al. in view of Mochimaru et al.

Abe et al. teach an image forming apparatus for forming a visible image on a recording sheet by an image forming section 7 and ejecting the recording sheet

Art Unit: 2852

above the image forming section into an ejection tray 3a (see Fig. 1) located above the image forming section. A conveyance unit 3 (see Fig. 6) is located to the side of the image forming section and includes a transfer roller 39, a fixing device 11, and a upward conveyance rollers 37,41. The conveyance unit attachable detachable via pivot 57 (col. 9, lines 10-25 through the front of the image forming apparatus. It is noted that transfer roller 39 transfers a visible image to a transfer material from an image carrier (transfer belt 14). Specifically, Abe et al. teach everything claimed except the ejection tray being "internal" to the image forming apparatus.

Mochimaru et al. teach an image forming apparatus comprising a ejection tray 31 located above a image forming unit 2Bk, 2M, 2C, 2Y,3 (see Fig. 4) and a conveyance unit 1B pivotable about a pivot 35. The conveyance unit includes a transfer belt 15, part of the fixing device 30A, and one of a pair of conveyance rollers. As seen in Fig.s 12-14, the image forming apparatus can be configured to have a ADF and a scanner above the ejection tray rendering the ejection tray "internal". It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Abe et al. to have a scanner/ADF above the ejection tray because the image forming apparatus can act as a copier (not just a printer) thus adding flexibility to an operator of the apparatus.

4. Claims 7-9 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirota et al. in view of JP# 2002-14555.

Art Unit: 2852

Hirota et al. teach an image forming apparatus comprising an image forming part 4, an internal ejection tray 50 above the image forming unit (see Fig. 5), a transfer device (not labeled but part of the image forming part), a fixing unit 7, and conveyance rollers such as 8, for conveyance a sheet from a paper supply 6 past the image forming part and to the ejection tray. Specifically, Hirota et al. teach everything claimed except the transfer device, fixing unit, and conveyance rollers incorporated in a single unit which is removable from a front side of the image forming apparatus through a opening in a reinforcing frame member having a protruding part. In addition, using pipe frames for the image forming apparatus is not taught.

JP# 2002·14555 teach an image forming apparatus comprising an image forming unit 2, a transfer device 32, a fixing device 34, and conveyance rollers 31,35 for conveying the sheet from a paper supply past the image forming unit and to an ejection tray (see Fig. 1). As seen in Fig. 2-3, the transfer device, fixing unit, and conveyance rollers are all integral to a removable unit which is removable from a front frame member (see Fig. 5)through an opening in the frame member. It is noted that the front frame member has the holes 16a, 17a therein so as to accommodate pins 36a, 37a from the removable unit. The frame member has a "protruding portion" to accommodate, for example, the fixing device (i.e. it is not perfectly square or rectangular). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hirota et al. to have an

Art Unit: 2852

removable unit comprising the transfer unit, fixing unit, and conveyance rollers which would be removable through a frame member in the apparatus because if refurbishing/ reconditioning/ or jam removal is necessary, it would be easy to access these parts of the image forming apparatus without undue effort (e.g. dismantling the entire apparatus). In addition, the examiner takes Official Notice that the use of pipe frame members for use in the construction of an image forming member are very well known in the art to provide adequate structural integrity to the apparatus.

- 5. Claims 10·13, 17·20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 10/30/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Abe does not teach a releasable single unit having a transfer device for transferring an image from an image carrier to a transfer material along with a fixing unit and conveying unit. However it is noted that while Abe has image carriers 17 for carrying a visible image (which apparently applicant is referring to), Abe also has a transfer belt 14 which acts as an image carrier.

Art Unit: 2852

The applicant also argues that JP ' 555 does not teach a front side plate frame provided along the periphery of the opening in which a removable unit is detachable. However, as seen in Fig. 5, the front side plate is the part which has the holes 16a, 17a and is provided at least along the periphery of the opening.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Beatty whose telephone number is (571) 272-2130. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

Art Unit: 2852

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Gray, can be reached on (571) 272-2119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Robert Beatty **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2852